

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

Motor Active

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 2

Chemwatch: 4819-30

Version No: 10.1.1.1

Safety Data Sheet according to WHS and ADG requirements

Issue Date: 04/09/2019

Print Date: 18/09/2019

L.GHS.AUS.EN

SECTION 1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE / MIXTURE AND OF THE COMPANY / UNDERTAKING

Product Identifier

Product name	Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash
Synonyms	Product Code G12619, G12664, 20-95D
Other means of identification	Not Available

Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses	<p>Automotive. Car shampoo.</p> <p>Fatty acid amides are nonionic substances which have a strong tendency to reduce friction on various surfaces by forming a layer on surfaces. This coating action may be attributed to their hydrophobic character and strong hydrogen bonding. Primary, secondary, and bisamides are widely used as lubricating or slip agents and alkanolamides. Their ethoxylated counterparts are commonly used as surfactants in personal care and detergent applications.</p> <p>The dehydration of amides that produces nitriles is of great commercial value. The most widely used synthetic route for primary amides is the reaction of a fatty acid with anhydrous ammonia.</p> <p>Typical uses of fatty acid amides include lubricants for synthetic resins (polyethylene, polypropylene, etc.), anti-blocking agents, mold release agents, printing ink additives, and pigment/dye dispersants.</p> <p>Use according to manufacturer's directions.</p>
--------------------------	---

Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	Motor Active
Address	35 Slough Business Park, Holker Street Silverwater NSW 2128 Australia
Telephone	+61 2 9737 9422 1800 350 622
Fax	+61 2 9737 9414
Website	www.motoractive.com.au
Email	andrew.spira@motoractive.com.au

Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	MotorActive
Emergency telephone numbers	+61 2 9737 9422 (For General Information Monday to Friday 8:30am to 5:pm)
Other emergency telephone numbers	13 11 26 (In Case of Emergency contact: Poison Information Hotline)

SECTION 2 HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Classification of the substance or mixture

HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL. NON-DANGEROUS GOODS. According to the WHS Regulations and the ADG Code.

CHEMWATCH HAZARD RATINGS

	Min	Max
Flammability	0	
Toxicity	1	
Body Contact	2	
Reactivity	1	
Chronic	2	

0 = Minimum
1 = Low
2 = Moderate
3 = High
4 = Extreme

Poisons Schedule	Not Applicable
Classification [1]	Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 2, Eye Irritation Category 2A, Skin Sensitizer Category 1, Carcinogenicity Category 2, Specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure Category 2, Chronic Aquatic Hazard Category 2
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI

Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)	
---------------------	---

SIGNAL WORD **WARNING**

Hazard statement(s)

Continued...

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

H315	Causes skin irritation.
H319	Causes serious eye irritation.
H317	May cause an allergic skin reaction.
H351	Suspected of causing cancer.
H373	May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure.
H411	Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

Supplementary statement(s)

Not Applicable

CLP classification (additional)

Not Applicable

Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P201	Obtain special instructions before use.
P260	Do not breathe dust/fume/gas/mist/vapours/spray.
P280	Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.
P281	Use personal protective equipment as required.
P273	Avoid release to the environment.
P272	Contaminated work clothing should not be allowed out of the workplace.

Precautionary statement(s) Response

P308+P313	IF exposed or concerned: Get medical advice/attention.
P321	Specific treatment (see advice on this label).
P362	Take off contaminated clothing and wash before reuse.
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of soap and water.
P305+P351+P338	IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.
P314	Get medical advice/attention if you feel unwell.
P333+P313	If skin irritation or rash occurs: Get medical advice/attention.
P337+P313	If eye irritation persists: Get medical advice/attention.
P391	Collect spillage.

Precautionary statement(s) Storage

P405	Store locked up.
------	------------------

Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501	Dispose of contents/container in accordance with local regulations.
------	---

SECTION 3 COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
Not Available	80-95	Ingredients determined not to be hazardous
68585-34-2	5-10	<u>sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate</u>
61789-40-0	5-10	<u>cocamidopropylbetaine</u>
68603-42-9	5-10	<u>coconut diethanolamide</u>
Not Available	1-5	alkanolamide
Not Available	1-5	anionic surfactant
131-56-6	0.05-0.15	<u>2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone</u>

SECTION 4 FIRST AID MEASURES

Description of first aid measures

Eye Contact	<p>If this product comes in contact with the eyes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wash out immediately with fresh running water. ▶ Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids. ▶ Seek medical attention without delay; if pain persists or recurs seek medical attention. ▶ Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.
Skin Contact	<p>If skin contact occurs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Immediately remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear. ▶ Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available). ▶ Seek medical attention in event of irritation.

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

Inhalation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If fumes, aerosols or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area. ▶ Other measures are usually unnecessary.
Ingestion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Immediately give a glass of water. ▶ First aid is not generally required. If in doubt, contact a Poisons Information Centre or a doctor.

Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

SECTION 5 FIREFIGHTING MEASURES

Extinguishing media

- ▶ There is no restriction on the type of extinguisher which may be used.
- ▶ Use extinguishing media suitable for surrounding area.

Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility	▶ Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result
-----------------------------	--

Advice for firefighters

Fire Fighting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. ▶ Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves in the event of a fire. ▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water courses. ▶ Use fire fighting procedures suitable for surrounding area. ▶ DO NOT approach containers suspected to be hot. ▶ Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location. ▶ If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire. ▶ Equipment should be thoroughly decontaminated after use.
Fire/Explosion Hazard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Non combustible. ▶ Not considered a significant fire risk, however containers may burn. Combustion products include: carbon dioxide (CO ₂) nitrogen oxides (NO _x) sulfur oxides (SO _x) other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material. May emit poisonous fumes. May emit corrosive fumes.
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

SECTION 6 ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

Environmental precautions

See section 12

Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clean up all spills immediately. ▶ Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes. ▶ Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment. ▶ Contain and absorb spill with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite. ▶ Wipe up. ▶ Place in a suitable, labelled container for waste disposal.
Major Spills	Moderate hazard. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clear area of personnel and move upwind. ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. ▶ Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves. ▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course. ▶ Stop leak if safe to do so. ▶ Contain spill with sand, earth or vermiculite. ▶ Collect recoverable product into labelled containers for recycling. ▶ Neutralise/decontaminate residue (see Section 13 for specific agent). ▶ Collect solid residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal. ▶ Wash area and prevent runoff into drains. ▶ After clean up operations, decontaminate and launder all protective clothing and equipment before storing and re-using. ▶ If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services.

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

SECTION 7 HANDLING AND STORAGE

Precautions for safe handling

Safe handling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ DO NOT allow clothing wet with material to stay in contact with skin ▶ Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation. ▶ Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs. ▶ Use in a well-ventilated area. ▶ Avoid contact with moisture.
----------------------	--

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Avoid contact with incompatible materials. ▶ When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed when not in use. ▶ Avoid physical damage to containers. ▶ Always wash hands with soap and water after handling. ▶ Work clothes should be laundered separately. Launder contaminated clothing before re-use. ▶ Use good occupational work practice. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS. ▶ Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions are maintained.
Other information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Store in original containers. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed. ▶ No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources. ▶ Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area. ▶ Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers. ▶ Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.

Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Polyethylene or polypropylene container. ▶ Packing as recommended by manufacturer. ▶ Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.
Storage incompatibility	<p>Diethanolamine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ reacts vigorously with strong oxidisers ▶ reacts with aldehydes, ketones, acrylates, formates, oxalates, nitrites, non-oxidising mineral acids, strong acids, organic acids, organic anhydrides, isocyanates, vinyl acetate, acrylates, substituted allyls, alkylene oxides, epichlorohydrin, ▶ may undergo self-sustaining thermal decomposition at temperatures above 250 C ▶ attacks aluminium, copper, zinc and their alloys, and galvanised iron ▶ Avoid strong acids, acid chlorides, acid anhydrides and chloroformates. ▶ Avoid reaction with oxidising agents

SECTION 8 EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION**Control parameters****OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OEL)****INGREDIENT DATA**

Not Available

EMERGENCY LIMITS

Ingredient	Material name	TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH
sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate	Not Available	Not Available
cocamidopropylbetaine	Not Available	Not Available
coconut diethanolamide	Not Available	Not Available
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	Not Available	Not Available

MATERIAL DATA

Sensory irritants are chemicals that produce temporary and undesirable side-effects on the eyes, nose or throat. Historically occupational exposure standards for these irritants have been based on observation of workers' responses to various airborne concentrations. Present day expectations require that nearly every individual should be protected against even minor sensory irritation and exposure standards are established using uncertainty factors or safety factors of 5 to 10 or more. On occasion animal no-observable-effect-levels (NOEL) are used to determine these limits where human results are unavailable. An additional approach, typically used by the TLV committee (USA) in determining respiratory standards for this group of chemicals, has been to assign ceiling values (TLV C) to rapidly acting irritants and to assign short-term exposure limits (TLV STELs) when the weight of evidence from irritation, bioaccumulation and other endpoints combine to warrant such a limit. In contrast the MAK Commission (Germany) uses a five-category system based on intensive odour, local irritation, and elimination half-life. However this system is being replaced to be consistent with the European Union (EU) Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL); this is more closely allied to that of the USA.

OSHA (USA) concluded that exposure to sensory irritants can:


- ▶ cause inflammation
- ▶ cause increased susceptibility to other irritants and infectious agents
- ▶ lead to permanent injury or dysfunction
- ▶ permit greater absorption of hazardous substances and
- ▶ acclimate the worker to the irritant warning properties of these substances thus increasing the risk of overexposure.

Exposure controls

Appropriate engineering controls	<p>Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection.</p> <p>The basic types of engineering controls are:</p> <p>Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.</p> <p>Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.</p> <p>Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.</p> <p>Local exhaust ventilation usually required. If risk of overexposure exists, wear approved respirator. Correct fit is essential to obtain adequate protection. Supplied-air type respirator may be required in special circumstances. Correct fit is essential to ensure adequate protection.</p> <p>An approved self contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) may be required in some situations.</p> <p>Provide adequate ventilation in warehouse or closed storage area. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.</p>
---	---

Continued...

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Type of Contaminant:</th> <th>Air Speed:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air).</td> <td>0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)</td> <td>0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)</td> <td>1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion).</td> <td>2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Within each range the appropriate value depends on:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Lower end of the range</th> <th>Upper end of the range</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture</td> <td>1: Disturbing room air currents</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.</td> <td>2: Contaminants of high toxicity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3: Intermittent, low production.</td> <td>3: High production, heavy use</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4: Large hood or large air mass in motion</td> <td>4: Small hood-local control only</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.</p>	Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:	solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air).	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)	aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)	direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)	grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion).	2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.)	Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range	1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents	2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.	2: Contaminants of high toxicity	3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use	4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood-local control only
Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:																				
solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air).	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)																				
aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)																				
direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)																				
grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion).	2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.)																				
Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range																				
1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents																				
2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.	2: Contaminants of high toxicity																				
3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use																				
4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood-local control only																				
<p>Personal protection</p>																					
<p>Eye and face protection</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Safety glasses with side shields. ▶ Chemical goggles. ▶ Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent] 																				
<p>Skin protection</p>	<p>See Hand protection below</p>																				
<p>Hands/feet protection</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wear chemical protective gloves, e.g. PVC. ▶ Wear safety footwear or safety gumboots, e.g. Rubber <p>NOTE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The material may produce skin sensitisation in predisposed individuals. Care must be taken, when removing gloves and other protective equipment, to avoid all possible skin contact. ▶ Contaminated leather items, such as shoes, belts and watch-bands should be removed and destroyed. <p>The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application.</p> <p>The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final choice.</p> <p>Personal hygiene is a key element of effective hand care. Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p> <p>Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - frequency and duration of contact, - chemical resistance of glove material, - glove thickness and - dexterity <p>Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. - When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. - Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term use. - Contaminated gloves should be replaced. <p>As defined in ASTM F-739-96 in any application, gloves are rated as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excellent when breakthrough time > 480 min - Good when breakthrough time > 20 min - Fair when breakthrough time < 20 min - Poor when glove material degrades <p>For general applications, gloves with a thickness typically greater than 0.35 mm, are recommended.</p> <p>It should be emphasised that glove thickness is not necessarily a good predictor of glove resistance to a specific chemical, as the permeation efficiency of the glove will be dependent on the exact composition of the glove material. Therefore, glove selection should also be based on consideration of the task requirements and knowledge of breakthrough times.</p> <p>Glove thickness may also vary depending on the glove manufacturer, the glove type and the glove model. Therefore, the manufacturers' technical data should always be taken into account to ensure selection of the most appropriate glove for the task.</p> <p>Note: Depending on the activity being conducted, gloves of varying thickness may be required for specific tasks. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Thinner gloves (down to 0.1 mm or less) may be required where a high degree of manual dexterity is needed. However, these gloves are only likely to give short duration protection and would normally be just for single use applications, then disposed of. - Thicker gloves (up to 3 mm or more) may be required where there is a mechanical (as well as a chemical) risk i.e. where there is abrasion or puncture potential <p>Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p>																				
<p>Body protection</p>	<p>See Other protection below</p>																				

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

Other protection

- ▶ Overalls.
- ▶ P.V.C. apron.
- ▶ Barrier cream.
- ▶ Skin cleansing cream.
- ▶ Eye wash unit.

Respiratory protection

Type AK-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Selection of the Class and Type of respirator will depend upon the level of breathing zone contaminant and the chemical nature of the contaminant. Protection Factors (defined as the ratio of contaminant outside and inside the mask) may also be important.

Required minimum protection factor	Maximum gas/vapour concentration present in air p.p.m. (by volume)	Half-face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator
up to 10	1000	AK-AUS / Class1 P2	-
up to 50	1000	-	AK-AUS / Class 1 P2
up to 50	5000	Airline *	-
up to 100	5000	-	AK-2 P2
up to 100	10000	-	AK-3 P2
100+			Airline**

* - Continuous Flow ** - Continuous-flow or positive pressure demand

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO₂), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH₃), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

- ▶ Cartridge respirators should never be used for emergency ingress or in areas of unknown vapour concentrations or oxygen content.
- ▶ The wearer must be warned to leave the contaminated area immediately on detecting any odours through the respirator. The odour may indicate that the mask is not functioning properly, that the vapour concentration is too high, or that the mask is not properly fitted. Because of these limitations, only restricted use of cartridge respirators is considered appropriate.
- ▶ Cartridge performance is affected by humidity. Cartridges should be changed after 2 hr of continuous use unless it is determined that the humidity is less than 75%, in which case, cartridges can be used for 4 hr. Used cartridges should be discarded daily, regardless of the length of time used

SECTION 9 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Appearance	Purple liquid with pleasant odour; mixes with water. Fatty acid amides (FAAs) comprise a family of neutral lipids that is related to other classes of N-acyl amines, such as N-acyl amino acids, N-acylethanolamines, and more complicated species like sphingomyelins and ceramides.
	Commercial FAAs generally consist of a fatty acid, usually derived from coconut oil, which is linked to an amide group by a C-N bond. The amide may either be monoethanolamide (MEA), diethanolamide (DEA), or monoisopropanolamide (MIPA). Representative structures of FAA are indicated below. The alkyl chain usually contains 12 to 18 carbon atoms. FAAs can be represented as R-C(=O)-N(R)R ⁿ .
	FAAs which contain a saturated or unsaturated alkyl chain derived from a fatty acid, can be divided into three categories (based on the following notation). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The first is <i>primary monoamides</i> in which R is a fatty alkyl or alkenyl chain of C₅-C₂₃ and R' =Rⁿ= H. ▶ The second is <i>substituted monoamides</i>, including secondary, tertiary, and alkanolamides in which R is a fatty alkyl or alkenyl chain of C₅-C₂₃; R' and Rⁿ may be a hydrogen, fatty alkyl, aryl, or alkylene oxide condensation groups with at least one alkyl, aryl, or alkylene oxide group. ▶ The third category is <i>bisamides</i> where R groups are fatty alkyl or alkenyl chains. R' and Rⁿ may be hydrogen, fatty alkyl, aryl, or alkylene oxide condensation groups.
	Primary and secondary amides show strong hydrogen bonding that account for their high melting points and low solubilities in most solvents. With tertiary amides (disubstituted amides), hydrogen bonding is not possible, as exhibited by their increased solubility and lower melting points. Many fatty acid amides are essentially insoluble in water. Amides have a strong tendency to reduce friction on various surfaces by forming a layer on surfaces. This coating action may be attributed to their hydrophobic character and strong hydrogen bonding. Fatty acid amides in general are stable to elevated processing temperatures, air oxidation, and dilute acids and bases. Alkanolamides are made from triglycerides or fatty acid methyl esters reacted with monoethanolamine or diethanolamine that then can be ethoxylated with ethylene oxide under basic catalyses. Common products are stearamide, cocamide, ethylene bis(stearamide), cocamide, DEA or MEA, cocamidopropyl dimethyl amine, and cocamide monoethanolamine ethoxylate
	Regardless of the carbon number, the melting point of saturated fatty acid amides falls in a range of 100 to 110 C. In contrast, the melting point of unsaturated fatty acid amides is significantly affected by the carbon number of such fatty acid amides; their melting points fall in a range of 70 to 85 C relative to the carbon number in a range of 18 to 22.

Physical state	Liquid	Relative density (Water = 1)	1.00
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	Not Applicable
pH (as supplied)	8.50	Decomposition temperature	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Applicable	Viscosity (cSt)	Not Available
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	100	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Applicable
Flash point (°C)	Not Applicable	Taste	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	Explosive properties	Not Available
Flammability	Not Applicable	Oxidising properties	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Applicable	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Applicable	Volatile Component (%vol)	Nil (VOC)

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

Vapour pressure (kPa)	Not Available	Gas group	Not Available
Solubility in water	Miscible	pH as a solution (1%)	Not Available
Vapour density (Air = 1)	Not Available	VOC g/L	Not Available

SECTION 10 STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials. ▶ Product is considered stable. ▶ Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

SECTION 11 TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Information on toxicological effects

Inhaled	<p>Limited evidence or practical experience suggests that the material may produce irritation of the respiratory system, in a significant number of individuals, following inhalation. In contrast to most organs, the lung is able to respond to a chemical insult by first removing or neutralising the irritant and then repairing the damage. The repair process, which initially evolved to protect mammalian lungs from foreign matter and antigens, may however, produce further lung damage resulting in the impairment of gas exchange, the primary function of the lungs. Respiratory tract irritation often results in an inflammatory response involving the recruitment and activation of many cell types, mainly derived from the vascular system.</p> <p>The material has NOT been classified by EC Directives or other classification systems as "harmful by inhalation". This is because of the lack of corroborating animal or human evidence. In the absence of such evidence, care should be taken nevertheless to ensure exposure is kept to a minimum and that suitable control measures be used, in an occupational setting to control vapours, fumes and aerosols.</p>
Ingestion	Accidental ingestion of the material may be damaging to the health of the individual.
Skin Contact	<p>Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material either produces inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or produces significant inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals, for up to four hours, such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period. Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.</p> <p>The material may accentuate any pre-existing dermatitis condition</p> <p>Anionic surfactants/ hydrotropes generally produce skin reactions following the removal of natural oils. The skin may appear red and may become sore. Papular dermatitis may also develop. Sensitive individuals may exhibit cracking, scaling and blistering.</p> <p>Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material</p> <p>A 30% fatty acid amide (cocoamide DEA solution) was a moderate skin irritant in rabbits. Test sites were scored for irritation according to Draize, and the Primary Irritation Index (PII) was 3.1 (maximum irritation is indicated by the score of 8). In products intended for prolonged contact with the skin, the concentration of cocoamide DEA should not exceed 5%. Fatty acid diethanolamides (C8-C18) and monoethanolamides are classified by CESIO as irritating.</p>
Eye	<p>Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material may cause eye irritation in a substantial number of individuals and/or may produce significant ocular lesions which are present twenty-four hours or more after instillation into the eye(s) of experimental animals.</p> <p>Repeated or prolonged eye contact may cause inflammation characterised by temporary redness (similar to windburn) of the conjunctiva (conjunctivitis); temporary impairment of vision and/or other transient eye damage/ulceration may occur.</p> <p>Some nonionic surfactants may produce a localised anaesthetic effect on the cornea; this may effectively eliminate the warning discomfort produced by other substances and lead to corneal injury. Irritant effects range from minimal to severe dependent on the nature of the surfactant, its concentration and the duration of contact. Pain and corneal damage represent the most severe manifestation of irritation.</p> <p>Direct eye contact with some concentrated anionic surfactants/ hydrotropes produces corneal damage, in some cases severe. Low concentrations may produce immediate discomfort, conjunctival hyperaemia, and oedema of the corneal epithelium. Healing may take several days. Temporary clouding of the cornea may occur.</p> <p>Low concentrations (0.6%) of fatty acid amides such as cocoamide DEA are severely irritating to the eyes of rabbits.</p> <p>Eye contact with fatty acid diethanolamides (C8-18) and fatty acid monoethanolamides may lead to a risk of serious damage to eyes (CESIO)</p>
Chronic	<p>Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure if swallowed.</p> <p>Serious damage (clear functional disturbance or morphological change which may have toxicological significance) is likely to be caused by repeated or prolonged exposure. As a rule the material produces, or contains a substance which produces severe lesions. Such damage may become apparent following direct application in subchronic (90 day) toxicity studies or following sub-acute (28 day) or chronic (two-year) toxicity tests.</p> <p>On the basis, primarily, of animal experiments, concern has been expressed by at least one classification body that the material may produce carcinogenic or mutagenic effects; in respect of the available information, however, there presently exists inadequate data for making a satisfactory assessment.</p> <p>Limited evidence suggests that repeated or long-term occupational exposure may produce cumulative health effects involving organs or biochemical systems.</p> <p>There exists limited evidence that shows that skin contact with the material is capable either of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a significant number of individuals, and/or of producing positive response in experimental animals.</p> <p>Speculative discussion surrounds the use of sunscreens and a possible rise in the incidence of melanoma. One mechanism proposed involves the development of free radicals following UVB absorption by the chemical agent; free radicals are potentially damaging to DNA. A further mechanism</p>

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

	involves the inhibition of Vitamin D production; low levels of Vitamin D have been associated with an increased risk of the development of breast and colon cancer and may also accelerate the growth of melanoma.	
Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Not Available	Not Available
sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Oral (rat) LD50: 1600 mg/kg ^[2]	Skin (rabbit): 25 mg/24 hr moderate
cocamidopropylbetaine	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1]	Eye: adverse effect observed (irritating) ^[1]
	Oral (rat) LD50: 2700 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye: primary irritant *
		Skin: adverse effect observed (irritating) ^[1]
		Skin: primary irritant *
coconut diethanolamide	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Inhalation (rat) LC50: 87.899592 mg/l/h ^[2]	Not Available
	Oral (rat) LD50: 2700 mg/kg ^[2]	
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Oral (rat) LD50: >6100 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye (rabbit): 100 mg/24h - mod

Legend:

1. Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2. * Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances

SODIUM (C10-16)PARETH SULFATE	<p>Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.</p> <p>Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptacosan-1-ol) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture.</p> <p>On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products. However, their susceptibility towards autoxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult to diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing.</p> <p>Allergic Contact Dermatitis—Formation, Structural Requirements, and Reactivity of Skin Sensitizers.</p> <p>Ann-Therese Karlberg et al; Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2008, 21, 53-69</p> <p>Polyethylene glycols (PEGs) have a wide variety of PEG-derived mixtures due to their readily linkable terminal primary hydroxyl groups in combination with many possible compounds and complexes such as ethers, fatty acids, castor oils, amines, propylene glycols, among other derivatives. PEGs and their derivatives are broadly utilized in cosmetic products as surfactants, emulsifiers, cleansing agents, humectants, and skin conditioners.</p> <p>PEGs and PEG derivatives were generally regulated as safe for use in cosmetics, with the conditions that impurities and by-products, such as ethylene oxides and 1,4-dioxane, which are known carcinogenic materials, should be removed before they are mixed in cosmetic formulations.</p> <p>Most PEGs are commonly available commercially as mixtures of different oligomer sizes in broadly- or narrowly-defined molecular weight (MW) ranges. For instance, PEG-10,000 typically designates a mixture of PEG molecules (n = 195 to 265) having an average MW of 10,000. PEG is also known as polyethylene oxide (PEO) or polyoxyethylene (POE), with the three names being chemical synonyms. However, PEGs mainly refer to oligomers and polymers with molecular masses below 20,000 g/mol, while PEOs are polymers with molecular masses above 20,000 g/mol, and POEs are polymers of any molecular mass. Relatively small molecular weight PEGs are produced by the chemical reaction between ethylene oxide and water or ethylene glycol (or other ethylene glycol oligomers), as catalyzed by acidic or basic catalysts. To produce PEO or high-molecular weight PEGs, synthesis is performed by suspension polymerization. It is necessary to hold the growing polymer chain in solution during the course of the poly-condensation process. The reaction is catalyzed by magnesium-, aluminum-, or calcium-organoelement compounds. To prevent coagulation of polymer chains in the solution, chelating additives such as dimethylglyoxime are used</p> <p>Safety Evaluation of Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) Compounds for Cosmetic Use: Toxicol Res 2015; 31:105-136 The Korean Society of Toxicology http://doi.org/10.5487/TR.2015.31.2.105</p> <p>Alkyl ether sulfates (alcohol or alkyl ethoxysulfates) (AES) (syn: AAASD ,alkyl alcohol alkoxyate sulfates, SLES) are generally classified according to Comité Européen des Agents de Surface et leurs Intermédiaires Organiques (CESIO) as Irritant (Xi) with the risk phrases R38 (Irritating to skin) and R36 (Irritating to eyes). An exception has been made for AES (2-3EO) in a concentration of 70-75% where R36 is substituted with R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes).</p> <p>AES are not included in Annex 1 of the list of dangerous substances of Council Directive 67/548/EEC.</p> <p>Acute toxicity: AES are of low acute toxicity. Neat AES are irritant to skin and eyes. The irritation potential of AES containing solutions depends on concentration. Local dermal effects due to direct or indirect skin contact with AES containing solutions in hand-washed laundry or hand dishwashing are not of concern because AES is not a contact sensitizer and AES is not expected to be irritating to the skin at in-use concentrations. The available repeated dose toxicity data demonstrate the low toxicity of AES. Also, they are not considered to be mutagenic, genotoxic or carcinogenic, and are not reproductive or developmental toxicants. The consumer aggregate exposure from direct and indirect skin contact as well as from the oral route via dishware residues results in an estimated total body burden of 29 ug /kg bw/day.</p> <p>AES are easily absorbed in the intestine in rats and humans after oral administration. Radiolabelled C11 AE3S and C12 AE3S were extensively metabolized in rats and most of the 14C-activity was eliminated via the urine and expired air independently of the route of administration (oral, intraperitoneal or intravenous). The main urinary metabolite from C11 AE3S is propionic acid-3-(3EO)-sulfate. For C12 and C16 AE3S, the main metabolite is acetic acid-2-(3EO)-sulfate. The alkyl chain appears to be oxidised to CO2 which is expired. The EO-chain seems to be resistant to metabolism.</p> <p>AES are better tolerated on the skin than, e.g., alkyl sulfates and it is generally agreed that the irritancy of AES is lower than that of other anionic surfactants. Alkyl chain lengths of 12 carbon atoms are considered to be more irritating to the skin compared to other chain lengths. The skin irritating properties of AES normally decrease with increasing level of ethoxylation. Undiluted AES should in general be considered strongly irritating. Even at concentrations of 10% moderate to strong effects can be expected. However, only mild to slight irritation was observed when a non-specified AES was applied at 1% to the skin.</p> <p>Subchronic toxicity: A 90-day subchronic feeding study in rats with 1% of AE3S or AE6S with alkyl chain lengths of C12-14 showed only an increased liver/body weight ratio. In a chronic oral study with a duration of 2 years, doses of C12-AE3S of 0.005 - 0.05% in the diet or drinking water had no effects on rats. The concentration of 0.5% sometimes resulted in increased kidney or liver weight.</p>
-------------------------------	---

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

Subchronic 21-day repeat dose dietary studies showed low toxicity of compounds with carbon lengths of C12-15, C12-14 and C13-15 with sodium or ammonium alkyl ethoxylates with POE (polyoxyethylene) n=3. One study indicated that C16-18 POE n=18 had comparable low toxicity. No observed-adverse-effect levels (NOAELs) range from 120 to 468 mg/kg/day, similar to a NOAEL from a 90-day rat gavage study with NaC12-14 POE n=2 (CAS RN 68891-38-3), which was reported to be 225 mg/kg/day. In addition, another 90-day repeat dose dietary study with NaC12-15 POE n=3 (CAS RN 68424-50-0) resulted in low toxicity, with a NOAEL of greater than approximately 50 mg/kg/day (calculated based on dose of 1000 ppm in diet). Effects were usually related to hepatic hypertrophy, increased liver weight, and related increases in haematological endpoints related to liver enzyme induction.

Reproductive and developmental toxicity: No evidence of reproductive and teratogenic effects was seen in a two-generation study in rats fed with a mixture (55:45) of AES and linear alkylbenzene sulfonates. Dietary levels of 0.1, 0.5, and 1% were administered to the rats either continuously or during the period of major organogenesis during six pregnancies. No changes in reproductive or embryogenic parameters were observed.

Based on this study an overall no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) for systemic effects was 0.1%, which was 86.6 mg/kg/day for the F0 generation, and 149.5 mg/kg/day for the F1 generation. The NOAEL of 86.6 mg/kg/day was selected as the toxicology endpoint for the chronic risk assessment for the sulfate derivatives.

Carcinogenicity: Chronic dietary studies conducted with rats showed no incidence of cancer and no effects at the concentrations tested (lowest dose tested was ca 75 mg/kg/day).

NOTE: Some products containing AES/ SLES have been found to also contain traces (up to 279 ppm) of 1,4-dioxane; this is formed as a by-product during the ethoxylation step of its synthesis. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends that these levels be monitored. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency classifies 1,4-dioxane to be a probable human carcinogen (not observed in epidemiological studies of workers using the compound, but resulting in more cancer cases in controlled animal studies), and a known irritant with a no-observed-adverse-effects level of 400 milligrams per cubic meter at concentrations significantly higher than those found in commercial products. Under Proposition 65, 1,4-dioxane is classified in the U.S. state of California to cause cancer. The FDA encourages manufacturers to remove 1,4-dioxane, though it is not required by federal law.

Sensitising potential: Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.

Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptacosan-1-ol) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture.

On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products. However, their susceptibility towards autoxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult to diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing. For similar product (sodium lauryl ether sulfate)

The following information refers to contact allergens as a group and may not be specific to this product.

Contact allergies quickly manifest themselves as contact eczema, more rarely as urticaria or Quincke's oedema. The pathogenesis of contact eczema involves a cell-mediated (T lymphocytes) immune reaction of the delayed type. Other allergic skin reactions, e.g. contact urticaria, involve antibody-mediated immune reactions. The significance of the contact allergen is not simply determined by its sensitisation potential: the distribution of the substance and the opportunities for contact with it are equally important. A weakly sensitising substance which is widely distributed can be a more important allergen than one with stronger sensitising potential with which few individuals come into contact. From a clinical point of view, substances are noteworthy if they produce an allergic test reaction in more than 1% of the persons tested.

Possible cross-reactions to several fatty acid amidopropyl dimethylamines were observed in patients that were reported to have allergic contact dermatitis to a baby lotion that contained 0.3% oleamidopropyl dimethylamine.

Stearamidopropyl dimethylamine at 2% in hair conditioners was not a contact sensitizer when tested neat or diluted to 30%. However, irritation reactions were observed.

A 10-year retrospective study found that out of 46 patients with confirmed allergic eyelid dermatitis, 10.9% had relevant reactions to oleamidopropyl dimethylamine and 4.3% had relevant reactions to cocamidopropyl dimethylamine.

Several cases of allergic contact dermatitis were reported in patients from the Netherlands that had used a particular type of body lotion that contained oleamidopropyl dimethylamine.

In 12 patients tested with their personal cosmetics, containing the fatty acid amidopropyl dimethylamine cocamidopropyl betaine (CAPB), 9 had positive reactions to at least one dilution and 5 had irritant reactions. All except 3 patients, who were not tested, had 2 or 3+ reaction to the 3,3-dimethylaminopropylamine (DMAPA), the reactant used in producing fatty acid amidopropyl dimethylamines at concentrations as low as 0.05%. The presence of DMAPA was investigated via thin-layer chromatography in the personal cosmetics of 4 of the patients that had positive reactions. DMAPA was measured in the products at 50 - 150 ppm suggesting that the sensitising agent in CAPB-induced allergy is DMAPA.

The sensitisation potential of a 4% aqueous liquid fabric softener formulation containing 0.5% stearyl/palmitylamidopropyl dimethylamine was investigated using. The test material caused some irritation in most volunteers. After a rest period of 2 weeks, the subjects received challenge patches with the same concentration of test material on both arms. Patch sites were graded 48 and 96 h after patching. Eight subjects reacted at challenge, and 7 of the eight submitted to rechallenge with 4% and 0.4% aqueous formulations. No reactions indicative of sensitisation occurred at rechallenge. The test formulation containing stearyl/palmitylamidopropyl dimethylamine had no significant sensitisation potential subjects.

Most undiluted cationic surfactants satisfy the criteria for classification as Harmful (Xn) with R22 and as Irritant (Xi) for skin and eyes with R38 and R41.

COCAMIDOPROPYLBETAINE

Amphoteric surfactants are easily absorbed in the intestine and are excreted partly unchanged via the faeces. Metabolisation to CO₂ and short-chained fatty acids also occur. No tendency to accumulation in the organism or storage of betaines in certain organs has been detected. Betaines generally have a low acute toxicity. E.g., LD50 values for cocoamidopropylbetaine (30% solution) by oral administration have been determined to 4,910 mg/kg body weight in rats.

Betaines do not carry any net charge, and, therefore, they can only form hydrophobic bonds with proteins in the skin. This may be the explanation for the low protein denaturation potential of betaines as the ion-binding of other surfactants contributes to denaturation. In combination with anionic surfactants a positive synergistic effect with regard to skin compatibility is often found. Compared to a 20% solution of C12 alkyl sulfate (AS; sodium lauryl sulfate) alone, decreased erythema was observed for the combination of 20% C12 AS and 10% cocoamidopropyl betaine one hour after the removal of patches. The combination of cocoamidopropyl betaine and C12 AS also reduced swelling of the skin, and generally interactions between amphoteric and AS produce less swelling and result in milder skin reactions. Concentrated betaines are expected to be irritant to skin and eyes. Diluted solutions (3-10%) are not irritant to skin, but they are mildly irritant to the eyes (4.5%)

No evidence of delayed contact hypersensitivity was found in guinea pigs after topically administered solutions of 10% cocoamidopropyl betaine by using the Magnusson-Kligman maximization test. Various instances of contact allergy to cocoamidopropyl betaine have been reported. In all of the reports it was concluded that the observed skin reactions were due to the presence of 3-dimethylaminopropylamine which is an impurity in cocoamidopropyl betaine. This impurity is an intermediate in the synthesis of alkylamidopropyl dimethylamines that are intermediates in the synthesis of the corresponding alkylamido betaines.

Cocoamidopropyl betaine was proven to be non-mutagenic to *Salmonella typhimurium* in the Ames Salmonella/microsome reverse mutation assay. Short-term genotoxicity tests have shown negative results of mutagenicity for lauryl betaine in various strains of *Salmonella typhimurium*

* [Van Waters and Rogers] ** [Canada Colors and Chemicals Ltd.] Toxicokinetics, metabolism and distribution. Absorption of the chemical across dermal and gastrointestinal membranes is possible based on the relatively low molecular weight of the chemical (500 Da) and given that it is a surfactant (EC, 2003). Acute toxicity. Acute oral toxicity studies in rats and mice indicated that the LD50 values of the chemical (at 30-35.61% concentration) ranged from 1800 mg/kg bw (male rats) up to 5000 mg/kg bw, with mortalities noted in most studies (CIR, 2010). Of note is an acute oral toxicity study conducted in Sprague-Dawley rats (5/sex) at a single dose of 1800 mg/kg bw (formulation containing 35.61% of the chemical), where no

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

males but all five females died. Overall, the data suggests that mortality occurs following oral administration of the chemical and that it may be an acute oral toxicant. Therefore, based on these data the chemical may be harmful if swallowed. An acute dermal toxicity study in rats was conducted using 2000 mg/kg bw of a 31% formulation of the chemical (CIR, 2010). Irritation was observed, but there were no clinical signs of systemic toxicity or mortalities. The lack of effects in this study suggests that the chemical is likely to be of low acute dermal toxicity. Irritation. The chemical has a quaternary ammonium functional group, which is a structural alert for corrosion. Numerous skin irritation studies, conducted with formulations containing 7.5-30% of the chemical, indicated that the chemical has irritant properties. The studies were, in-general, conducted under occlusive conditions, with exposure times of up to 24 hours (7.5-10%). Based on the information available, the chemical is likely to be a skin irritant. Eye irritation studies with the chemical showed that corrosive and necrotic effects occurred at 30% whereas less severe effects were observed at lower concentrations of 2.3-10%. The chemical is classified with the risk phrase R36: Irritating to eyes, however, based on studies conducted on the chemical it may be a severe eye irritant. Sensitisation. The chemical has a quaternary ammonium functional group, which is a structural alert for sensitisation (Conflicting results have been obtained with the chemical in animal studies. Positive results were reported in an LLNA study (an EC3 value was not reported). In addition, positive results were obtained in two guinea pig maximisation studies conducted by a single laboratory, the first at 3% induction and 3% challenge, and the second at 0.15% induction and 0.015% challenge. However, there was no sensitisation in a guinea pig maximisation test when the chemical was tested at 6% induction and 1% challenge. In addition, no sensitisation was observed in another test in guinea pigs at 0.75% induction and 0.02% challenge. No evidence of sensitisation was reported in a HRIPT on a formulation containing the chemical at 0.6% concentration (a 10% dilution of a ~6% formulation) with 110 volunteers. In HRIPT studies on formulations containing the chemical, no evidence of sensitisation was reported at concentrations of 1.87% (88 subjects), 0.93% (93 subjects), 0.3% (100 subjects), 1.5-3.0% (141 subjects), 6.0% (210 subjects), 0.018% (27 subjects). However, positive results were observed in provocative studies conducted on formulations containing the chemical (at 0.3-1% concentration), conducted in subjects diagnosed with various forms of contact dermatitis, suggesting that the chemical may cause reactions in sensitive individuals. In one study authors note that sensitisation effects of the chemical (and related compounds) are most likely due to the impurities, including DMAPA and amidopropyl dimethylamines, however, they do not exclude the possibility of the causing the sensitisation. The potential for skin sensit

The material may produce severe irritation to the eye causing pronounced inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.

for diethanolamine (DEA):

In animal studies, DEA has low acute toxicity via the oral and dermal routes with moderate skin irritation and severe eye irritation. In subchronic toxicity testing conducted via the oral route in rats and mice, the main effects observed were increased organ weights and histopathology of the kidney and/or liver, with the majority of other tissue effects noted only at relatively high dosages. In subchronic studies conducted via the dermal route, skin irritation was noted as well as systemic effects similar to those observed in the oral studies. DEA has not been shown to be mutagenic or carcinogenic in rats; however, there is evidence of its carcinogenicity in mice.

Subchronic toxicity: The subchronic toxicity of DEA has been studied in F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice by exposure through drinking water or dermal administration, in 2 week and 13 week studies.

Target organs for toxicity included blood, kidney, brain and spinal cord, seminiferous tubules and dermal application site in rats and liver, kidney, heart, salivary gland and dermal application site in mice. Effects on seminiferous tubules were accompanied by reductions in sperm count and reduced sperm motility. Hematological evaluations indicated normochromic, microcytic anemia in the dermal study in male rats (NOEL =32 mg/g) and females (LOEL = 32 mg/kg). Anemia was also observed in rats in the drinking water study with a LOEL of 14 mg/kg/d in females and a LOEL of 48 mg/kg/d in males for altered hematological parameters. These findings were similar to those observed in the 2 week studies, but the magnitude of the changes was greater in the 13 week studies. Hematological parameters were normal in controls. No associated histopathological changes were noted in femoral bone marrow. Haematological parameters were not evaluated in mice.

Developmental toxicity: In a developmental toxicity study conducted via the oral route, effects of concern were observed only in the presence of maternal toxicity. In a developmental toxicity study conducted via the dermal route using two species of mammals, developmental toxicity was observed only in one species and only at doses causing significant maternal toxicity. Metabolically, DEA is excreted largely unchanged in the urine.

Carcinogenicity: A two-year dermal cancer study bioassay results on DEA and three fatty acid condensates of DEA indicated that liver tumours occurred in male and female mice exposed to DEA and two of the condensates. In addition kidney tumours occurred in male mice exposed to DEA and one of the condensates. Compelling evidence suggested that the toxicity observed in mice and rats treated with the DEA condensates was associated with free DEA and not with other components of the condensates. A weight of evidence analysis of data relevant to the assessment of the liver and kidney tumours in mice resulted in the conclusion that these tumours are not relevant to humans under the expected conditions of exposure and that liver and kidney toxicity should be evaluated on a threshold basis. This conclusion is based on the following:

- ▶ DEA is not genotoxic
- ▶ tumour development occurred at doses also associated with chronic hyperplasia
- ▶ there was no dose-related increase in malignancy, multiplicity of tumours or decrease in latency period
- ▶ tumours occurred late in life
- ▶ tumour response was species-specific (only mice were affected, not rats)
- ▶ tumour response was sex-specific (only male mice were affected, not females)
- ▶ tumour development was site-specific, with only liver and kidney affected, both sites of DEA accumulation;
- ▶ there was no tumour response in skin, despite evidence of chronic dermal toxicity
- ▶ there is a plausible mechanism, supported by various data, to explain the renal toxicity of DEA
- ▶ data support threshold mechanisms of renal carcinogenesis for a number of non-genotoxic chemicals
- ▶ the exposure regime used in the mouse study (i.e., lifetime continuous exposure to DEA in ethanol vehicle at doses causing chronic dermal toxicity) is not relevant to human exposure (exposure through cosmetic vehicles with daily removal, under non-irritating conditions).

In considering the aggregate data on a DEA basis from the four studies using DEA and related condensates, the NOEL for kidney toxicity was 19 mg/kg/d, which resulted from a dose of 100 mg/kg/d of cocamide DEA containing 19% free DEA.

Anaemia: Rats exposed to DEA condensates developed anaemia. This was considered to be of to be relevant for humans since anaemia in rodents and humans share common etiologies. The proposed mechanism by which DEA could cause anemia involves disruption of phospholipid metabolism leading to membrane perturbation and functional change to erythrocytes. Some doubt about the relevance of the findings arises because ethanol was used as the vehicle in the dermal studies, and ethanol is known to cause anaemia in rodents through a mechanism involving membrane disruption. The possibility of a synergistic or additive role for DEA and ethanol in combination cannot be ruled out.

In considering the aggregate data on a DEA basis from the four 13-week dermal studies using DEA and related condensates, the NOEL for microcytic anemia was 9.5 mg/kg/d, which resulted from a dose of 50 mg/kg/d of cocamide DEA containing 19% free DEA.

The NOELs for mice and rats derived in this hazard assessment were as follows:

Anaemia in rats: 9.5 mg/kg/d (based on microcytic anemia)

Organ toxicity in mice: 2.2 mg/kg/d (based on liver toxicity)

In extrapolating among species for the purposes of risk assessment, the prime consideration with respect to dermally applied DEA was differential dermal absorption. Evidence indicates that dermal penetration of

DEA is greatest in mice and lower in rats and humans. Interspecies extrapolation was accomplished in this assessment by converting applied doses to bioavailable doses (i.e., internal doses) using dermal bioavailability determined in studies with rats and mice *in vivo*, so as to be able to compare these with internal doses expected to be experienced by humans through use of personal care products.

Based on measured bioavailability in mice and rats, the bioavailable NOELs corresponding to the foregoing were:

Anaemia in rats: 0.8 mg/kg/d (based on microcytic anemia)

Organ toxicity in mice: 0.55 mg/kg/d (based on liver toxicity)

Kidney toxicity: Effects on the kidney were observed in rats treated with DEA in drinking water or by dermal exposure after as little as 2 weeks of exposure. Effects included renal tubule hyperplasia, renal tubular epithelial necrosis, renal tubule mineralization and increased relative organ weight. Similar changes were observed after 13 weeks of exposure of rats to DEA in drinking water and by dermal administration. The NOEL in male rats was 250 mg/kg/d in the dermal study, while in female rats renal tubule mineralisation was observed at the lowest dose of 32 mg/kg/d. After 2 years of dermal exposure there were no histopathological changes in the kidneys of male rats given doses of up to 64 mg/kg/d. In females, there were no significant increases in the incidences of renal tubule epithelial necrosis, hyperplasia or mineralisation as was observed after 13 weeks of exposure, however, there was an increase in the severity and incidence of nephropathy. This was the result of a treatment-related exacerbation of a previously existing lesion,

COCONUT DIETHANOLAMIDE

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

	<p>since the incidence in controls was 80%, increasing to 94-96% in treated groups. There was no significant increase in the incidence of kidney tumours in rats treated with DEA or any of the condensates in 2-year dermal studies.</p> <p>Liver toxicity: Effects on liver, including increases in relative organ weight and histopathological changes were observed in male and female mice in the 2 week drinking water study with DEA. Increases in liver weight were observed in the two week dermal study, but were not associated with histopathological changes. After 13 weeks of exposure, relative liver weights were increased compared to controls in male and female rats, with no associated histopathology. There is some doubt about whether these changes in liver weights were of toxicological significance, since there was no associated histopathology, the dose-response was not consistent and there were no effects on liver in the 2 year study in rats.</p> <p>In the study with coconut diethanolamide (CDEA) (100 and 200 mg/kg/d) in which 19% of the applied dose was DEA, there were no liver effects in rats after 13 weeks or 2 years of dermal exposure. No liver toxicity in rats was observed in the 2 year dermal studies of lauramide or oleamide DEA</p> <p>WARNING: This substance has been classified by the IARC as Group 2B: Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans.</p> <p>In a study of dermal application in mice, coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (coconut diethanolamide) increased the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatocellular adenoma in males and females, and of hepatoblastoma in males. The incidence of renal tubule adenoma and carcinoma combined was also increased in males. In a study of dermal application in rats, no increase in tumour incidence was observed.</p> <p>Tumours of the kidney and hepatoblastoma are rare spontaneous neoplasms in experimental animals.</p> <p>The carcinogenic effects of the coconut oil diethanolamine condensate used in the cancer bioassay may be due to the levels of diethanolamine (18.2%) in the solutions tested.</p> <p>Mechanistic data are very weak to evaluate the carcinogenic potential of coconut oil diethanolamine condensate per se</p> <p>According to IARC: Coconut oil diethanolamine condensate is possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) *Ethoquad C/12 SDS</p>
2,4-DIHYDROXYBENZOPHENONE	Oral (rat) LD50: >6100 mg/kg * [BASF] Oral (rat) LD50: 8600 mg/kg ** [Rhone]
Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash & SODIUM (C10-16)PARETH SULFATE	No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.
Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash & COCONUT DIETHANOLAMIDE	<p>For Fatty Nitrogen Derived (FND) Amides (including several high molecular weight alkyl amino acid amides)</p> <p>The chemicals in the Fatty Nitrogen Derived (FND) Amides of surfactants are similar to the class in general as to physical/chemical properties, environmental fate and toxicity. Human exposure to these chemicals is substantially documented.</p> <p>The Fatty nitrogen-derived amides (FND amides) comprise four categories:</p> <p>Subcategory I: Substituted Amides</p> <p>Subcategory II: Fatty Acid Reaction Products with Amino Compounds (Note: Subcategory II chemicals, in many cases, contain Subcategory I chemicals as major components)</p> <p>Subcategory III: Imidazole Derivatives</p> <p>Subcategory IV: FND Amphoteric</p> <p>Acute Toxicity: The low acute oral toxicity of the FND Amides is well established across all Subcategories by the available data. The limited acute toxicity of these chemicals is also confirmed by four acute dermal and two acute inhalation studies.</p> <p>Repeated Dose and Reproductive Toxicity: Two subchronic toxicity studies demonstrating low toxicity are available for Subcategory I chemicals. In addition, a 5-day repeated dose study for a third chemical confirmed the minimal toxicity of these chemicals. Since the Subcategory I chemicals are major components of many Subcategory II chemicals, and based on the low repeat-dose toxicity of the amino compounds (e.g. diethanolamine, triethanolamine) used for producing the Subcategory II derivatives, the Subcategory I repeat-dose toxicity studies adequately support Subcategory II.</p> <p>Two subchronic toxicity studies in Subcategory III confirmed the low order of repeat dose toxicity for the FND Amides Imidazole derivatives. For Subcategory IV, two subchronic toxicity studies for one of the chemicals indicated a low order of repeat-dose toxicity for the FND amphoteric salts similar to that seen in the other categories.</p> <p>Genetic Toxicity in vitro: Based on the lack of effect of one or more chemicals in each subcategory, adequate data for mutagenic activity as measured by the Salmonella reverse mutation assay exist for all of the subcategories.</p> <p>Developmental Toxicity: A developmental toxicity study in Subcategory I and in Subcategory IV and a third study for a chemical in Subcategory III are available. The studies indicate these chemicals are not developmental toxicants, as expected based on their structures, molecular weights, physical properties and knowledge of similar chemicals. As above for repeat-dose toxicity, the data for Subcategory I are adequate to support Subcategory II.</p> <p>In evaluating potential toxicity of the FND Amides chemicals, it is also useful to review the available data for the related FND Cationic and FND Amines Category chemicals. Acute oral toxicity studies (approximately 80 studies for 40 chemicals in the three categories) provide LD50 values from approximately 400 to 10,000 mg/kg with no apparent organ specific toxicity. Similarly, repeated dose toxicity studies (approximately 35 studies for 15 chemicals) provide NOAELs between 10 and 100 mg/kg/day for rats and slightly lower for dogs. More than 60 genetic toxicity studies (in vitro bacterial and mammalian cells as well as in vivo studies) indicated no mutagenic activity among more than 30 chemicals tested. For reproductive evaluations, 14 studies evaluated reproductive endpoints and/or reproductive organs for 11 chemicals, and 15 studies evaluated developmental toxicity for 13 chemicals indicating no reproductive or developmental effects for the FND group as a whole.</p> <p>Some typical applications of FND Amides are: masonry cement additive; curing agent for epoxy resins; closed hydrocarbon systems in oil field production, refineries and chemical plants; and slip and antiblocking additives for polymers.</p> <p>The safety of the FND Amides to humans is recognised by the U.S. FDA, which has approved stearamide, oleamide and/or erucamide for adhesives; coatings for articles in food contact; coatings for polyolefin films; defoaming agents for manufacture of paper and paperboard; animal glue (defoamer in food packaging); in EVA copolymers for food packaging; lubricants for manufacture of metallic food packaging; irradiation of prepared foods; release agents in manufacture of food packaging materials, food contact surface of paper and paperboard; cellophane in food packaging; closure sealing gaskets; and release agents in polymeric resins and petroleum wax. The low order of toxicity indicates that the use of FND Amides does not pose a significant hazard to human health.</p> <p>The differences in chain length, degree of saturation of the carbon chains, source of the natural oils, or addition of an amino group in the chain would not be expected to have an impact on the toxicity profile. This conclusion is supported by a number of studies in the FND family of chemicals (amines, cationics, and amides as separate categories) that show no differences in the length or degree of saturation of the alkyl substituents and is also supported by the limited toxicity of these long-chain substituted chemicals.</p> <p>Fatty acid amides (FAA) are ubiquitous in household and commercial environments. The most common of these are based on coconut oil fatty acids alkanolamides. These are the most widely studied in terms of human exposure.</p> <p>Fatty acid diethanolamides (C8-C18) are classified by Comité Européen des Agents de Surface et de leurs Intermediaires Organiques (CESIO) as Irritating (Xi) with the risk phrases R38 (Irritating to skin) and R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes). Fatty acid monoethanolamides are classified as Irritant (Xi) with the risk phrases R41</p> <p>Several studies of the sensitization potential of cocoamide diethanolamide (DEA) indicate that this FAA induces occupational allergic contact dermatitis and a number of reports on skin allergy patch testing of cocoamide DEA have been published. These tests indicate that allergy to cocoamide DEA is becoming more common.</p> <p>Alkanolamides are manufactured by condensation of diethanolamine and the methylester of long chain fatty acids. Several alkanolamides (especially secondary alkanolamides) are susceptible to nitrosamine formation which constitutes a potential health problem. Nitrosamine contamination is possible either from pre-existing contamination of the diethanolamine used to manufacture cocoamide DEA, or from nitrosamine formation by nitrosating agents in formulations containing cocoamide DEA. According to the Cosmetic Directive (2000) cocoamide DEA must not be used in products with nitrosating agents because of the risk of formation of N-nitrosamines. The maximum content allowed in cosmetics is 5% fatty acid dialkanolamides, and the maximum content of N-nitrosodialkanolamines is 50 mg/kg. The preservative 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol is a known nitrosating agent for</p>

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash

secondary and tertiary amines or amides. Model assays have indicated that 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol may lead to the N-nitrosation of diethanolamine forming the carcinogenic compound, N-nitrosodiethanolamine which is a potent liver carcinogen in rats (IARC 1978).

Several FAAs have been tested in short-term genotoxicity assays. No indication of any potential to cause genetic damage was seen. Lauramide DEA was tested in mutagenicity assays and did not show mutagenic activity in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains or in hamster embryo cells. Cocoamide DEA was not mutagenic in strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* when tested with or without metabolic activation.

Environmental and Health Assessment of Substances in Household Detergents and Cosmetic Detergent Products, Environment Project, 615, 2001. Miljøministeriet (Danish Environmental Protection Agency)

Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ceases. This may be due to a non-allergenic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur following exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Key criteria for the diagnosis of RADS include the absence of preceding respiratory disease, in a non-atopic individual, with abrupt onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. A reversible airflow pattern, on spirometry, with the presence of moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia, have also been included in the criteria for diagnosis of RADS. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. Industrial bronchitis, on the other hand, is a disorder that occurs as result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particulate in nature) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterised by dyspnea, cough and mucus production.

The material may cause skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling the epidermis. Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.

The material may produce moderate eye irritation leading to inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.

Acute Toxicity	✗	Carcinogenicity	✓
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✓	Reproductivity	✗
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✓	STOT - Single Exposure	✗
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	✓	STOT - Repeated Exposure	✓
Mutagenicity	✗	Aspiration Hazard	✗

Legend: ✗ – Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification
 ✓ – Data available to make classification

SECTION 12 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Toxicity

Meguiar's G126 - NXT GN Car Wash	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	NOEC	1344	Fish	0.251mg/L	4
cocamidopropylbetaine	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	=1mg/L	1
	EC50	48	Crustacea	6.4mg/L	2
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.55mg/L	2
coconut diethanolamide	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	NOEC	672	Fish	0.16mg/L	2
	LC50	96	Fish	2.52mg/L	1
	EC50	48	Crustacea	2.25mg/L	1
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	=2.2mg/L	1
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	EC0	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	1mg/L	1
	NOEC	504	Crustacea	=0.07mg/L	1
	LC50	96	Fish	3.7mg/L	2
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	2.12mg/L	2
	NOEC	96	Fish	1.6mg/L	2

Legend: Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. EPIWIN Suite V3.12 (QSAR) - Aquatic Toxicity Data (Estimated) 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data

Harmful to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment.

Do NOT allow product to come in contact with surface waters or to intertidal areas below the mean high water mark. Do not contaminate water when cleaning equipment or disposing of equipment wash-waters.

Continued...

Wastes resulting from use of the product must be disposed of on site or at approved waste sites.

for diethanolamine (DEA):

log Kow : -1.43

Koc : 4

Half-life (hr) air : 4

Henry's atm m³/mol: 5.35E-14

BOD 5: 0.03-0.1,0.9%

BOD 28: 57 mg/gm

COD : 1590 mg/gm

TPC 470 mg/gm

ThOD : 2.13

BCF : <1

Based on its physicochemical properties and biodegradation characteristics, DEA is not expected to pose a high risk to drinking water, and its potential for bioconcentration in aquatic organisms is low. DEA is categorized as "practically nontoxic" on an acute basis to freshwater invertebrates, estuarine/marine invertebrates, and freshwater plants

Environmental fate:

In soil and water, DEA is expected to biodegrade fairly rapidly following acclimation (half-life on the order of days to weeks). In soil, DEA should leach. In the atmosphere, DEA is expected to exist almost entirely in the vapor phase. Reaction with photochemically generated hydroxyl radicals is expected to be the dominant removal mechanism (half-life, four hours). This compound may also be removed from the atmosphere in precipitation. The Henry's Law constant for DEA is 3.87x10⁻¹¹atm.m³/mol which suggests that DEA is essentially nonvolatile from water. The half-life for DEA vapour reacting with photochemically generated hydroxyl radicals in the atmosphere has been estimated to be four hours based on an estimated reaction rate constant of 8.9x10⁻¹¹ cm³/molecules/sec at 25°C and an average ambient hydroxyl concentration of 5x10⁺⁵ molecules/cm³.

DEA, in the presence of nitrites, can form N-nitrosodiethanolamine (NDELA). In air, NDELA is expected to exist solely as a vapor based on a vapor pressure of 2.78 x 10⁻⁴ mmHg at 25 C.

Vapor-phase NDELA will be degraded in the atmosphere by reaction with photochemically-produced hydroxyl radicals with an estimated half-life of 13 hours. NDELA is stable to direct photolysis. In

soil, an estimated Koc of 4.8 suggests that this compound is expected to have very high mobility; it is expected to biodegrade slowly in soil. In summary, it appears that DEA is relatively short lived and that it does not present a high risk to contaminate drinking waters. NDELA, a potential formation product, is persistent to biotic and abiotic processes, and mobile. The amounts formed are uncertain (it is only indicated that the half-life is in the order of days to weeks). The water quality criteria (WQC) for nitrosamines is 0.0008 ug/L (U.S. Clean Water Act)

DEA's potential for bioconcentration in aquatic organisms is low

At very low concentrations (about 10 ppm) diethanolamine can be degraded in biological wastewater treatment plants.

Ecotoxicity:

Fish LC50 (96 h): Fathead minnow 100 mg/l; (48 h): Bluegill sunfish 1850 mg/l

Daphnia magna LC50 (48 h): 109 mg/l

DEA is categorized as ranging from moderately toxic to practically nontoxic to freshwater invertebrates based on EC50 values ranging from 2.15 to 306 mg/L.

DEA is categorized as "practically nontoxic" to estuarine/ marine invertebrates. EC50 values for estuarine/ marine invertebrates (shrimps and mollusks) exposed to DEA ranged from >100 to 2,800 mg/L.

DEA is categorised as practically nontoxic to freshwater plants on an acute basis based on EC50 values ranging from 103 to 523 mg/L.

Fatty acid amides (FAA) are nonionics used in hair shampoo, liquid soaps, shaving creams and other personal care products. FAA consist of a fatty acid, usually derived from coconut oil, which is linked to an amide group by a C-N bond. The amide may be typically either be monoethanolamide (MEA), diethanolamide (DEA), or monoisopropanolamide (MIPA).

Most fatty acid amides (FAA), such as the widely used cocodiethanolamide (cocoamide DEA) and cocomonethanolamide (cocoamide MEA), are ultimately degraded in the OECD tests for ready biodegradability under aerobic conditions. The available data describing the aerobic biodegradability of the ethoxylated FAA are contradictory. Certain data indicates that these surfactants do not pass the criteria for ready biodegradability, whereas the opposite is the case for data obtained from Akzo Nobel

The anaerobic biodegradability of FAA has been examined for cocoamide MEA by using the ECETOC screening test. Ultimate anaerobic biodegradability of cocoamide MEA reached 79% of the theoretical gas production, ThGP, during incubation of diluted digested sludge for 42 days at 35 degree C. By use of the ISO 11734 screening test, which corresponds to the ECETOC method, the ultimate anaerobic biodegradability of cocoamide MEA attained 81% during 56 days

No experimental data describing the bioaccumulation potential of fatty acid amides were found in the literature

The aquatic toxicity of FAA has been determined for species representing the three trophic levels algae, invertebrates, and fish. Cocoamide DEA appears to be more toxic to aquatic organism than cocoamide MEA.

An exceptionally high toxicity of cocoamide MEA was reported for two tests with the green alga *Scenedesmus subspicatus* as the 96 h-EC50 were 1.0 and 1.1 mg/l. More recent tests with a pure cocoamide ME - purity about 95.5% C12-18 gave EC50 values of 16.6 mg/l for *Scenedesmus subspicatus* and 17.8 mg/l for *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* (formerly *Selenastrum capricornutum*). The latter data indicate that the toxicity of cocoamide MEA to algae are not markedly higher than the toxicity to daphnids and fish, and EC50 values above 10 mg/l are probably more representative for the toxicity towards algae. The ethoxylated FAA show the same level of aquatic toxicity as the non-ethoxylated FAA

Environmental and Health Assessment of Substances in Household Detergents and Cosmetic Detergent Products, Environment Project, 615, 2001. Miljøministeriet (Danish Environmental Protection Agency)

For Fatty Nitrogen-Derived Amides (FND Amides)

Environmental fate:

As expected for molecules of this size, model predictions for the chemicals with definable structures indicate they are nonvolatile. Predicted or measured Kow values are of limited practical use for the FND Amides. An inherent property of surfactants is that they tend to accumulate at the interface between hydrophobic and hydrophilic phases rather than equilibrate between the two phases. Therefore, the accurate measurement of the Kow of any surfactant is notoriously difficult. The measured values for water solubility of the FND Amides indicate that they are insoluble. The model predictions, however, range from insoluble to moderately soluble. The physical/chemical properties of surfactants often make water solubility data of little practical value in the determination of environmental fate and effects.

Due to the low volatility of the FND Amides atmospheric photodegradation estimates are of no practical use. However, photodegradation was predicted that could be modeled. These predictions indicate that these chemicals would be expected to degrade relatively rapidly upon exposure to light (t_{1/2} values ranging from approximately 2.2 to 9.5 hours).

Due to the surfactant properties and solubility of the FND Amides, hydrolytic stability is of minimal value for determining environmental fate or effects.

Biodegradability: There are adequate measured data across Subcategories I, II and IV to allow the conclusion that these chemicals are readily or inherently biodegradable. Further, the model predictions provide reasonably close estimates to these measured values. Minimal degradability of the one chemical, [CAS RN 68122-86-1], from Subcategory III indicates these chemicals are slowly degraded. The slower degradation of these materials is likely the result of limited water solubility and behavior of the chemicals in aqueous solution. Longer single alkyl group substitutions and/or multiple long-chain alkyl substituents result in slower "inherent" biodegradability.

Ecotoxicity:

The reliable data for acute toxicity to fish and daphnid indicate that the FND Amides like surfactants in general, may adversely affect aquatic organisms (LC50 and EC50 values ranging from 0.2 to 59 mg/l). Many of the ECOSAR model estimates for the acute toxicity endpoints indicate the chemicals are "not toxic at solubility". However, for surfactants such as the FND Amides the acute aquatic toxicity generally is considered to be related to the effects of the surfactant properties on the organism and not to direct chemical toxicity.

For surfactants:

Environmental fate:

Octanol/water partition coefficients cannot easily be determined for surfactants because one part of the molecule is hydrophilic and the other part is hydrophobic. Consequently they tend to accumulate at the interface and are not extracted into one or other of the liquid phases. As a result surfactants are expected to transfer slowly, for example, from water into the flesh of fish. During this process, readily biodegradable surfactants are expected to be metabolised rapidly during the process of bioaccumulation. This was emphasised by the OECD Expert Group stating that chemicals are not to be considered to show bioaccumulation potential if they are readily biodegradable.

Several anionic and nonionic surfactants have been investigated to evaluate their potential to bioconcentrate in fish. BCF values (BCF - bioconcentration factor) ranging from 1 to 350 were found. These are absolute maximum values, resulting from the radiolabelling technique used. In all these studies, substantial oxidative metabolism was found resulting in the highest radioactivity in the gall bladder. This indicates liver transformation of the parent compound and biliary excretion of the metabolised compounds, so that "real" bioconcentration is overstated. After correction it can be

expected that "real" parent BCF values are one order of magnitude less than those indicated above, i.e. "real" BCF is <100. Therefore the usual data used for classification by EU directives to determine whether a substance is "Dangerous to the Environment" has little bearing on whether the use of the surfactant is environmentally acceptable.

Ecotoxicity:

Surfactant should be considered to be toxic (EC50 and LC50 values of < 10 mg/L) to aquatic species under conditions that allow contact of the chemicals with the organisms. The water solubility of the chemicals does not impact the toxicity except as it relates to the ability to conduct tests appropriately to obtain exposure of the test species. The acute aquatic toxicity generally is considered to be related to the effects of the surfactant properties on the organism and not to direct chemical toxicity

for UV filters:

UV filters have been detected in surface water, wastewater and fish, and some of them are estrogenic in fish. At present, little is known about their additional hormonal activities in different hormonal receptor systems despite their increasing use and environmental persistence. Besides estrogenic activity, UV filters may have additional activities, both agonistic and antagonistic in aquatic organisms.

Systematic analysis of the oestrogenic, antioestrogenic, androgenic, and antiandrogenic activity was conducted using 18 UV filters and one metabolite *in vitro* at non-cytotoxic concentrations with recombinant yeast systems carrying either a human estrogen (hER) or androgen receptor (hAR). All 19 compounds elicited hormonal activities, surprisingly most of them multiple activities. Ten UV-filters having agonistic effects towards the hER. Surprisingly, six UV filters with androgenic activities and many of them having pronounced antioestrogenic and antiandrogenic activities.

Seventeen compounds inhibited 4,5-dihydrotestosterone activity in the hAR assay, while 14 compounds inhibited oestradiol activity in the hER assay, indicating antiandrogenic and antiestrogenic activity, respectively. In particular, the antiandrogenic activities of phenyl- and benzyl salicylate, benzophenone-1 and -2, and of 4-hydroxybenzophenone were higher than that of flutamide, a known hAR antagonist.

Although most of the UV filters exert hormonal effects at concentrations that are orders of magnitude higher than in the environment, wide distribution and exposure to UV filter mixtures may have environmental consequences due to additive effects. The UV filters 4-methylbenzylidene camphor, benzophenone-3, benzophenone-4, octyl methoxycinnamate, octocrylene and homosalate that repeatedly were detected in the aquatic environment, may contribute with their multiple hormonal activities in a complex manner to the mixture of endocrine disrupting chemicals already present in surface water and fish. For most of the UV filters with multiple hormonal activities residues in the aquatic environment and in biota are not yet known, and therefore their environmental relevance remains elusive. The fact that all 18 UV filters and one metabolite showed receptor ligand binding via transactivation – surprisingly most of them multiple bindings – reveals a complex picture of the hormonal activities of UV filters.

Petra Kunz and Karl Fent: Aquatic Toxicology Vol 79 pp 305-324 October 2006

DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.

Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	HIGH	HIGH

Bioaccumulative potential

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	LOW (LogKOW = 2.9637)

Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone	LOW (KOC = 2885)


SECTION 13 DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

Waste treatment methods

Product / Packaging disposal	<p>Legislation addressing waste disposal requirements may differ by country, state and/ or territory. Each user must refer to laws operating in their area. In some areas, certain wastes must be tracked.</p> <p>A Hierarchy of Controls seems to be common - the user should investigate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Reduction ▶ Reuse ▶ Recycling ▶ Disposal (if all else fails) <p>This material may be recycled if unused, or if it has not been contaminated so as to make it unsuitable for its intended use. If it has been contaminated, it may be possible to reclaim the product by filtration, distillation or some other means. Shelf life considerations should also be applied in making decisions of this type. Note that properties of a material may change in use, and recycling or reuse may not always be appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains. ▶ It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal. ▶ In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first. ▶ Where in doubt contact the responsible authority. ▶ Recycle wherever possible. ▶ Consult manufacturer for recycling options or consult local or regional waste management authority for disposal if no suitable treatment or disposal facility can be identified. ▶ Dispose of by: burial in a land-fill specifically licensed to accept chemical and / or pharmaceutical wastes or incineration in a licensed apparatus (after admixture with suitable combustible material). ▶ Decontaminate empty containers. Observe all label safeguards until containers are cleaned and destroyed.
-------------------------------------	--

SECTION 14 TRANSPORT INFORMATION

Labels Required

Marine Pollutant	
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

Land transport (ADG): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

SECTION 15 REGULATORY INFORMATION**Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture****SODIUM (C10-16)PARETH SULFATE IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS**

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Dangerous Goods List	International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - List of Emergency Action Codes	International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)	United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations

COCAMIDOPROPYLBETAINE IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Dangerous Goods List	Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Schedule 6
Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - List of Emergency Action Codes	International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals	International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)	United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations
Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Schedule 5	

COCONUT DIETHANOLAMIDE IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - Dangerous Goods List	International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
Australia Dangerous Goods Code (ADG Code) - List of Emergency Action Codes	International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)	United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations
International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs	

2,4-DIHYDROXYBENZOPHENONE IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

National Inventory Status

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AICS	Yes
Canada - DSL	Yes
Canada - NDSL	No (coconut diethanolamide; 2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone; sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate; cocamidopropylbetaine)
China - IECSC	Yes
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	Yes
Japan - ENCS	No (sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate; cocamidopropylbetaine)
Korea - KECI	Yes
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes
Philippines - PICCS	Yes
USA - TSCA	Yes
Taiwan - TCSI	Yes
Mexico - INSQ	No (sodium (C10-16)pareth sulfate)
Vietnam - NCI	Yes
Russia - ARIPS	Yes
Legend:	Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory and are not exempt from listing(see specific ingredients in brackets)

SECTION 16 OTHER INFORMATION

Revision Date	04/09/2019
Initial Date	14/04/2004

SDS Version Summary

Version	Issue Date	Sections Updated
8.1.1.1	01/09/2015	Acute Health (skin), Fire Fighter (fire/explosion hazard), Ingredients
10.1.1.1	04/09/2019	Appearance, Ingredients, Physical Properties

Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

Definitions and abbreviations

PC – TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average
PC – STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit

Continued...

IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer
ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit
TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit,
IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations
OSF: Odour Safety Factor
NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level
LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
TLV: Threshold Limit Value
LOD: Limit Of Detection
OTV: Odour Threshold Value
BCF: BioConcentration Factors
BEI: Biological Exposure Index

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.